

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/194,165	Applicant(s) PERKES, LYNN	
	Examiner Patricia Leith	Art Unit 1654	

All Participants:

(1) Patricia Leith.

(2) Elizabeth Kaytor.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2004

Time: approx. 2PM EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 First paragraph

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the rejection under 35 USC 112 First paragraph. Agreed that although the claim limitations are broadly drawn to any type of extract, that the skilled artisan could make the claimed invention drawing from the Instant specification as well as what is known in the prior art. Further suggested amending minor informalities in order to place the claims in condition for allowance..